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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| This memorandum of law is excellent. It demonstrates a full understanding of the procedural posture, your client’s interests, and the substantive law. The MOL is well-organized, persuasively explains why your is entitled to the requested discovery. It demonstrates an understanding of the role of the parties in resolving their own discovery disputes. The MOL is supported by authority. The MOL is polished, well-edited, and demonstrates persuasive writing skills. This MOL complies with all federal and local rules. | This MOL is mostly persuasive and well-organized. The MOL relies on sound authority, substantially complies with the court’s rules, and demonstrates an understanding of the procedural posture. Its arguments may misunderstand the authority concerning discovery or strike a tone that is too objective. |
| This MOL is somewhat persuasive, but it can be much stronger. It may set out the deficiencies in Defendant’s discovery requests but lack sufficient support from authority. It may address only a few of the deficiencies in Defendant’s responses. The law may be explained accurately, and the argument may flow logically, but the MOL may be written too objectively and miss many opportunities for persuasion. The MOL may be out of compliance with the applicable federal and local rules. | This MOL has serious deficiencies and/or is likely to be rejected by the court’s clerk. The brief misrepresents facts and/or authority, represents a misunderstanding of the relevant facts and/or law, presents an argument without citing to supporting authority, violates court rules, and/or contains extensive writing/mechanical errors. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Comments** |
| * Formatting complies with applicable federal and local rules. * Demonstrates an understanding of parties’ roles in managing discovery. |  |
| * Describes all the relevant governing law that will affect the analysis of your argument. * Explains the law and prior cases in a way that favors your client: * Describes the rule or holding broadly/narrowly, positively/negatively, generally/specifically, etc. * Emphasizes content that favors your client: * Places favorable content in “positions of emphasis” * Describes favorable content at length (giving that content more “airtime”), and * Describes favorable content in detail. |  |
| * Uses paragraph-level and sentence-level persuasion: * Begins every paragraph with a thesis sentence. * The middle of every paragraph supports the thesis sentence. * Uses passive voice intentionally. * Uses dependent clauses advantageously.. * Uses short sentences and punch. |  |
| * Was edited and proofread thoroughly: * Sentences are clear and concise. * Arguments are organized and well-supported. * Employs solid editing and proofreading strategies from to submit error-free writing. |  |
| * Includes accurate citations: * Cites every assertion of law. * Style every citation is styled to comply with your citation manual. |  |
| * Final Comments |  |